



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/788,650 02/27/2004		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
		Chaitan Khosla	300622000205	7286	
25225 7	590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE		· ·	PARK, HANKYEL		
SUITE 500	CENTRE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92130-2332		1648		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		İ	10/788,650	KHOSLA ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
		ŀ	Hankyel T. Park	1648				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic			correspondence ac	idress			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(inication. days, a reply wittory period will all, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror use the application to become ABANDON.	mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
2a)[_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 June 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	,							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accept on to the dra ne correction	wing(s) be held in abeyance. Se is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments hocuments hother priority all Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in Applicat documents have been receive PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/788,650

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-22 are pending and are examined.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 and claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,080,555 A and 6,750,040 B1, respectively. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both patents are directed to methods and system comprising modified modular polyketide synthase to prevent its utilization of a native starter unit.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hankyel T. Park whose telephone number is 571-272-0907. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 a.m. -2:00 p.m. Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,650

Art Unit: 1648

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached at 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HANKYEL T. PARK, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER